

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET-NO.

08/873,601

06/12/97

NOLAN

-;

A-63915/DJB/

HM12/0324

FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 3400 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-4187 EXAMINER

RICIGLIANO, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1618 12

DATE MAILED:

03/24/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/873,601

Applicant(s)

NOLAN

Examiner

Joseph W. Ricigliano Ph. D.

Group Art Unit 1618

☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 31, 1998</u>	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 9-26	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
$\square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objects	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ber)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the I	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s)
<ul> <li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> </ul>	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	)
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	IE FOLLOWING PAGES

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-305-3704. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Donald E. Adams, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Donald.Adams@uspto.gov or 703-308-0570. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

- 1. This action is responsive to applicants' amendment of 12/31/98.
- 2. Applicants have amended the claims to recite species not previously set forth in the original claims. In view of this amendment the examiner sets forth the following election of species prior to any further action on the merits.

#### Election/Restriction

- 3. Applicants have previously elected group I, claims 1-8, all of which are generic to group I for examination on the merits in paper number 7.
- 4. As amended this application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention set forth in subgroups A-C
  - A) species of substrate
    - i) linear scaffolds, claim 28
    - ii) circular scaffolds, claim 29
    - iii) branched scaffolds, claim 30

The species differ with respect to their structures. Because the species differ with respect to the structure of the substrate and the search required for each is distinct, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper

Art Unit: 1618

## B) species of scaffold fusion partners

- i) presentation structures, claim 33
- ii) targeting sequences, claim 34
- iii) rescue sequences, claim 35
- iv) stability sequences, 36
- v) linker sequences, claim 37

The species differ with respect to the property fusion partner supplies to the scaffold. Because the species differ with respect to the scaffold fusion partners and the search required for each is distinct, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper

Currently, claims 31 is generic to subgroup B and will be examined on merits with any of species Bi-Bv to the extent of the species elected.

### C) species of enzyme fusion partners

- i) presentation structures, claim 38
- ii) targeting sequences, claim 39
- iii) rescue sequences, claim 40
- iv) stability sequences, claim 41
- v) linker sequences, claim 42

The species differ with respect to the property the fusion partner supplies to the enzyme. Because the invention differ with respect to the enzyme fusion partners and the search required for each is distinct, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper

Currently, claim 32 is generic to subgroup C and will be examined on the merits with any of species Ci-Cv to the extent of the species elected.

A proper election of species requires a selection from each of subgroups A-C.

Currently claims 1-8 and 27 are generic to group I and will be examined with any of the species elected.

Application/Control Number: 08/873,601 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 08/873,601

Art Unit: 1618

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicants are also reminded that a 1 - month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory

period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the

merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will

not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP

809.02(a).

It is noted that applicants' arguments will be considered pending election of a species for

further prosecution on the merits.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Joseph W. Ricigliano Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-9346.

The examiner can be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor.

Donald E. Adams Ph. D., can be reached at (703) 308-0570.

Joseph W. Ricigliano Ph. D.

Page 5

**GROUP 1800**